Exhibit 31

	Page 1
1	CONFIDENTIAL - WILLIAM AHDOUT
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	
	In re:
4	: Master File No.
	Global Brokerage, Inc. : 1:17-cv-00916-RA
5	F/k/a FXCM, Inc. :
	Securities Litigation :
6	:
7	
8	REMOTE VIDEO DEPOSITION OF:
9	WILLIAM AHDOUT
10	TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 16, 2021
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	REPORTED BY:
	SILVIA P. WAGE, CCR, CRR, RPR
25	JOB NO. 4398817

	Page 98
1	CONFIDENTIAL - WILLIAM AHDOUT
2	Q. So would it be fair to characterize
3	this as an extension of credit that can only be
4	used for trading?
5	MR. DAHAN: I think the witness said
6	what it is, but asked and answered, objection to
7	form.
8	A. Again, it's the extension of prime
9	brokerage services.
10	Q. And in connection with this
11	"extension of prime brokerage services," was
12	Effex or Mr. Dittami asked to put up any
13	collateral for those accounts?
14	A. I don't I don't remember.
15	Q. Do you recall if Effex or Mr. Dittami
16	was charged any interest for the use of those
17	accounts by FXCM?
18	A. We did this for a lot of clients. I
19	don't think we did it we charged anybody
20	interest.
21	Q. Do you recall whether the \$2 million
22	prime brokerage line extended to Effex and Mr.
23	Dittami was larger or smaller or about the same
24	size as the lines extended to others?
25	A. It was about even though it was the

	Page 99
1	CONFIDENTIAL - WILLIAM AHDOUT
2	same with the with others.
3	Q. And, if you remember, who
4	specifically were some of the other entities to
5	which FXCM extended prime brokerage lines like
6	this?
7	A. Lucid was one of them. We had many.
8	Q. Do you remember any other specific
9	ones other than Lucid?
10	A. I don't remember the names, but I
11	know we had them.
12	Q. And "Lucid," does that refer to Lucid
13	Capital Markets LLC?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And correct me if I'm wrong, but FXCM
16	or FXCM Incorporated, I think, eventually
17	acquired an interest in Lucid Capital Markets; is
18	that correct?
19	A. Eventually, yes.
20	Q. But that would be after this
21	extension of prime brokerage account that you're
22	referring to here?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Okay. Do you recall whether you or
25	Effex or Mr. Dittami on Effex's behalf executed

	Page 134
1	CONFIDENTIAL - WILLIAM AHDOUT
2	Q. Do you have any recollection as to
3	how you understood this or as to what he was
4	asking about at the time?
5	A. I don't remember that.
6	Q. And it continues on the next
7	paragraph. "There are basically two choices.
8	One is that FXCM somehow leaves its 70 percent
9	share in to support/fund trading and the upsides
10	are that this is clearly recouped when option is
11	exercise and accounted for" as such a such.
12	Down side is perhaps" show greater "shows
13	greater level of interest."
14	Do you see that?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. By "option" here, do you understand
17	Mr. Dittami to be referring to the Option
18	Agreement we looked at earlier today?
19	MR. DAHAN: Objection to form.
20	A. I assume so, yes.
21	Q. When Mr. Dittami says, "downside is
22	perhaps" or "shows greater level of interest,"
23	what did you understand Mr. Dittami to be mean?
24	A. You know, the problem that we're
25	having here, I think, in you asking me questions

Page 135

1 CONFIDENTIAL - WILLIAM AHDOUT 2 is that this Option Agreement was never a 3 reality. It never even came to be in existence. So questions related to it are not things that I, 4 5 specifically, remember because I know that the 6 option was never in existence, let alone be 7 exercised. 8 So a lot of these questions are in 9 confusion, as he says in the paragraph before 10

into what we do have in place and to what we do not have in place.

- And, just to clarify, I'm looking for just what your understanding was at the time during these negotiations.
- Α. This e-mail that I'm looking at explains the state of confusion. explain to you somebody else's state of confusion.
- Did you have any understanding at the time of what he was asking you to explain or what he was confused about?
- Α. No. So that is talking about the 70 percent option exercise. I don't know what he's confused about because usually people who are confused do not have a clear understanding of

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	Page 165
1	CONFIDENTIAL - WILLIAM AHDOUT
2	sentence, do you interpret the sentence where he
3	refers to "its success" to mean Effex's success?
4	MR. DAHAN: Objection to form.
5	A. Yes. That's what it sounds to me
6	like.
7	Q. And in your view, did FXCM have a
8	vested interest in Effex's success?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. How so?
11	A. In terms of being successful to
12	provide a better smoother easier transaction for
13	the for our customers and everything was
14	included in that experience.
15	Q. Do you recall having any subsequent
16	conversations with Mr. Dittami or anyone from
17	Effex about this document or the edits or notes
18	that are made in this document?
19	A. I don't remember, specifically, each
20	and every document from ten years ago.
21	Q. Do you have an understanding as to
22	what Dittami was attempting to convey by the
23	language, "Noncompetes also smell like employment
24	agreements more than separate independence"?
25	MR. DAHAN: Objection to form.